IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Pa	atent Application of)	BOX AF
Karl S. BEERS et al.)	Group Art Unit: 3644
Application No.: 09/107,141)	Examiner: J. Eldred
Filed:	June 30, 1998)	
	MULTIPLE ASM OBIGGS WITH DIFFERENT PERMEABILITY AND SELECTIVITY MEMBRANES)))	

REPLY AFTER FINAL ACTION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

In response to the final Official Action dated August 29, 2000, Applicants submit the following remarks.

REMARKS

Re-examination and reconsideration of the subject application, in view of the remarks which follow, are respectfully requested.

In the Official Action, claims 1-3, 11, 13-14, 16-17, 22, 24-25, and 29-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Edwards et al* (U.S. Patent No. 5,013,331). Claims 4, 5-10, 12, 15-16, 18, 19-21, 23, and 26-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Edwards et al* in view of *Dornheim* ("Airline Industry Takes Fresh Look At Inerting," <u>Aviation Week and Space Technology</u>, U.S.